THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION No. 1522 of 2006

-

Dated: 28.01.2006

Between:

V.Sivarama Krishna, s/o Sri V.Raja Rao,
R/o Peda Geddada (V), Rampachodavaram Mandal,

East Godavari District.

..... PETITIONER

And:

The Andhra Bank, represented by its Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Dr.Pattabhi Bhavan, Head Office,
Saifabad, Hyderabad and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION No. 1522 of 2006

-

ORDER:

-

The petitioner was initially appointed as clerk in Andhra Bank in the year 1989. In January 2002, he was promoted to the cadre of Junior Manager-I. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against him by issuing a charge Sheet, dated 12.12.2002. Ultimately, the disciplinary authority passed an order, dated 31.10.2003, dismissing him from service. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner preferred an appeal

before the appellate authority. The appeal was rejected on 09.07.2004. The petitioner challenges the order of removal, as affirmed in the appeal. The petitioner preferred a revision before the first respondent on 01.09.2005. His grievance is that no orders have been passed thereon.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Though several contentions have been urged on behalf of the petitioner, and reference is made to several observations in the report of the enquiry officer as well as the order of dismissal, this Court is not inclined to advert to the same, at this stage. The petitioner had availed the statutory remedy of revision before the first respondent. It is true that the revision was presented beyond the period stipulated under the relevant Rules. However, having regard to the fact that the petitioner is out of service, it cannot be said that the delay in presentation of the revision was willful or wanton.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to pass appropriate orders in the revision presented before it within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is made clear that no objection shall be taken as to the limitation in filing the revision. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

28.01.2006

sh